



### Remedial Site Characterization using Membrane Interphase Probe (MIP) and high resolution sampling

Gabriele Giorgio Ceriani Environmental Engineer / Remediation Specialist



### Ejlskov A/S

- Founded in 1999 by Palle Ejlskov
- Based in Aarhus (Jutland Denmark)
- Main business activities focused on high detailed site characterization and injection
  based in-situ remediation
- Currently 23 employees (Geologists, Engineers, Software developer, Field Technicians)
- Ejlskov is specialized in:
  - Soil and groundwater investigations
  - Contamination Risk evaluation towards groundwater, indoor climate and physical contact
  - Insitu Site Remediation (Trap & Treat® and other technologies)
  - Turn Key solitions to soil and groundwater contamination problems
  - · Environmental risk towards groundwater, indoor climate and physical contact
  - · Consulting services to local developers and authorities
  - Environmental Due Diligence and EHS Audits



EJISKOV







### Presentation Layout / Agenda

#### PART ONE

- Remedial Site Characterization
  - Why
  - When
  - How
- Geoprobe System
  - Introduction to the method Direct Sensing Membrane Interface Probing (MIP) Soil Coring – Dual Tube Well installation/Groundwater sampling
- 2D/3D modeling
  - 2D modeling as planning tool (Dynamic modeling) Data evaluation and 3D Modeling (Final model)
- Question Time



•

#### PART TWO

#### Case Studies

- 1. CRT Station, Kvistgård (Denmark)
- 2. Active Retail Station, Copenhagen (Denmark)
- 3. Former Industrial Facility (Sweden)
- Conclusions and Lessons Learned
- Insitu Site Remediation -Trap & Treat<sup>®</sup> concept BOS100<sup>®</sup>/CAT100<sup>®</sup> for chlorinated solvents, BOS200<sup>®</sup> for Hydrocarbons, BTEX and MTBE, Injection method/Installation,
- Question Time



# Remedial Design Characterization

# Why, When, How?



### RDC Conceptual Introduction (1)



#### WHY

- Collect sufficient and relevant data (soil and groundwater) to define the actual area/volume to be treated
- Narrow down to the maximum extent possible data gaps in the Conceptual Site Model (CSM)
- Collect high frequency soil data to estimate actual soil contaminant mass
- Remove uncertainties related to remediation works extent (need to, how much, how long)

#### WHEN

- Need to assess to a high level of certainty the contaminant mass distrubution (pre-, post- Risk Assessment)
- Insufficient data are available (saturated soil data missing)
- Client is keen in limiting the risks associated to the remediation works implementation
- Client wants a performance based / time based guarantee on the remediation contract



&

Remedial Design Characterization (RDC)



Initial Site Evaluation based on available data:

- Review of Existing Soil and Groundwater Data
- Well and Borehole Logs
- Saturated and Unsaturated Soil Data
- Groundwater Data Vertical Profile
- Previous/On-going Remedial Efforts?
- Physical Site Constraints Tank Pits, Utilities...etc.,
- Critical Receptors (Groundwater Abstraction Wells, Streams etc.)
- Develop a preliminary Site Model

To design RDC to optimize Remediation plan





### Site Investigation







### RDC Conceptual Introduction (2)

- Baseline REM cost: 25,000,000
   30,000,000 €
- Remedial costs development driven by RDC spatial grid
- Combined RDC+REM to be split in phases based on risk / liability removal







### RDC Conceptual Introduction (3)

- Baseline REM cost: 25,000,000
   30,000,000 €
- Remedial costs development driven by RDC spatial grid
- Combined RDC+REM to be split in phases based on risk / liability removal









### RDC Conceptual Introduction (4)

- Remedial cost development based on fixed average soil concentration and variable areal extent
- Initial estimate is generally priced towards the upper right end
- RDC generally allows for better and more refined price estimate







### RDC Conceptual Introduction (5)

- Remedial cost development based on fixed aerial extent and variable average soil concentrations
- Initial estimate is generally priced towards the upper right end
- Most likely scenario compared to prev ious slide. RDC likely to show different contamination patterns





### Tools, Features, Procedures





Geoprobe System

Key Features:

Based on Direct Push Technology

Steel rods (1,25" – 3,25") Auger drillings available (Solid-/Hollow Stem Augers)

• Penetration depth in non-consolidated sediments – 25-35 m.

Cannot pennetrate consoildated rock types, Bedrock, Limestone etc.

Mobile and flexible rigs

Various sizes: 0,5-4,5 tons

Catepillar Tracks

Various tools (Direct Sensing, Well installation, Level specific Soil- and groundwater sampling, slug test)





### Ejlskov Geoprobe Rigs



Membrane Interface Probe (MIP) – System set-up





The MIP has been used extensively for mapping the extent of VOC contamination in the subsurface (vertical and horizontal).

The Membrane Interface Probe (MIP) is a screening tool with semiquantitative capabilities As a logging tool, the MIP offers many benefits to site investigators:

- Useful for detecting and logging both chlorinated and non-chlorinated VOC contaminants.
- Works in both saturated and unsaturated soils.
- Standard tool configurations combine the MIP with at di-pol for lithology logging (Electrical Conductivity).
- Real time contaminant screening information is generated, allowing field adjustment of the site investigation. (Dynamic investigation approach)
- Relative contaminant concentration levels (signal output in  $\mu V$ )



### Membrane Interface Probe (MIP) - Probe





- The MIP membrane is semi-permeable and is comprised of a thin film polymer impregnated into a stainless steel screen for support.
- The membrane is approximately 6.35mm in diameter. The membrane is placed in a heated block attached to the probe.
- This block is heated to approximately 90-120 °C as the probe is advanced into the soil. - Heating the Probe accelerates membrane diffusion while at the same time minimizing membrane absorption.
- Diffusion across the membrane is driven by the concentration gradient between the contaminated soil and the clean carrier gas behind the membrane.
- A constant gas flow of 35-45 mL/min sweeps behind the membrane and carries the contaminants to the gas phase detectors at the surface (FID,PID and XSD).
  - Travel time from the membrane interface to the detector(s) is approx. 30-45sec (depending on the length of trunkline and flow rate).



MIP Membrane



# Membrane Interface Probe (MIP) - Detectors



- PID (Photo Ionization Detector) Aromatic compounds like BTEX and PCE, TCE, DCE, VC.....
- FID (Flame Ionization Detector) Alkanes inkl. Methane
- XSD (Halogen Specific Detector) Halogenated compounds - Cl<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup>, Fl<sup>-</sup>
- The MIP system is checked before each MIP according to the Geoprobe Standard Procedure.

#### Detection Limits

Detector	Detection Limit*
PID	0,2-2 ppm
FID	1-20 ppm
XSD	0,1-2 ppm

\* http://geoprobe.com/mip-specifications



hydrorida Universities and American American



### Soil Coring – Geoprobe Dual Tube





### Groundwater Screen Sampling – Geoprobe SP16



- Geoprobe Screen Point Sampler (SP16),
- Stainless steel screens (25 mm OD) 1 meter screen length,

**EJISKOV** 

Cleaning up the earth

- Temporary well installation for discrete groundwater sampling,
- · Sampling with Peristaltic pump or Inertia Pump







# Well Installation – Permanent Monitoring Well









# Ongoing 2D/3D Modeling and data evaluation



### Active Retail Station - Denmark





### Active Retail Station - Denmark





### Active Retail Station - Denmark





Final Model including 3D illustration and cross sc Modelling of available data prior RDC





# CRT Station, Kvistgård, DK



### Ejlskov Studio Pro 3D Mass distribution and Remedial Design Model



Getting the contaminant mass distribution right.

- Remedial Design Characterization (RDC)
  - For design of remediation
  - Focused on "hot" areas
    - Source Zone
    - Plume Zone
- Development of 3D contamination mass model
- Decide on the goal
  - Risk removal (still in the books)
  - Liability removal (out of the books)
  - To evaluate remedial methods







### MIP 2D Model 9,5 m bgl profile







# MIP 2D Model 7,5 m bgl profile



#### MIP 3D Model View



MIP 3D VIEW Hydrocarbon MIP Probe Delineation and confirmatory soil sampling







### Importance of High Frequency Data

	Sample ID	Prøvedybde	Dry Matter	C6H6-C10	C10-C15	C15-C20	C20-C35	Sum (C10-C20)	Sum (C6H6-C35
		m bgl	%	mg/kg ts.	mg/kg ts.				
se 4	MIP 07 SC	3,75	85	210	4900	5600	3300	10000	14000
se 4	MIP 07 SC	4,0	85	280	4000	4400	2200	8400	11000
se 4	MIP 07 SC	4,25	92		2400	2600	1400	5000	6500
se 4	MIP 07 SC	4,5	92	160	3200	3500	2100	6700	9000
se 4	MIP 07 SC	4,75	92	130	2600	2900	1500	5400	7000
se 4	MIP 07 SC	5,0	92		3200	4600	2000	7800	10000
se 4	MIP 07 SC	5,25	96	650	7300	8000	4200	15000	20000
se 4	MIP 07 SC	5,5	96		1400	1400	610	2800	3500
se 4	MIP 07 SC	5,75	96	9,4	480		110	740	860
se 4	MIP 07 SC	6,0	96	14	500	140	57	630	700
se 4	MIP 07 SC	6,25	95	590		1100	370	12000	13000
se 4	MIP 07 SC	6,5	95	290	4700	240	100	4900	5300
se 3	MIP 07 SC	6,75	95	< 2	79	7,0	< 20	86	86
se 4	MIP 07 SC	7,0	95	510	8200	1300	580	9500	11000
se 4	MIP 07 SC	7,25	94	62	1400	250	110	1700	1800
se O	MIP 07 SC	7,5	94	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#
se O	MIP 07 SC	7,75	94	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#
se O	MIP 07 SC	8	94	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#
se 0	MIP 07 SC	8.25	90	-2	< 5	<5	< 20	#	#
se 0	MIP 07 SC	8.5	90	<2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#
se 0	MIP 07 SC	8 75	90	<2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#
se 0	MIP 07 SC	9.0	90	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#
se 0	MIP 07 SC	9.25	90	<2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#
sc 0	MIP 07 SC	0.5	00	<2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#
se 0	MIP 07 SC	9.75	90	.2	.5	5	. 20	#	#
30.0	1411 07 00	3,73	50	<2	< 0	< 0	< 20	#	#
se 4	MIP 09 SC	5,0	92	12	1100	2500	1600	3600	5200
se 3	MIP 09 SC	5,25	95	< 2	6,5	18	< 20	24	24
se 3	MIP 09 SC	5,5	95	< 2	7,3	16	< 20	23	23
ise 4	MIP 09 SC	5,75	97	61	3700	5700	3700	9400	13000
ise 4	MIP 09 SC	6,0	97	65	3500	5100	3300	8600	12000
se 3	MIP 09 SC	6,25	96	< 2	46	41	< 20	88	88
se 4	MIP 09 SC	6,5	96	100	2500	2000	860	4500	5400
se 4	MIP 09 SC	6,75	95	260	4800	5100	3000	9900	13000
se 4	MIP 09 SC	7,0	97	8,5	420	440	190	860	1100
se 0	MIP 09 SC	7,25	95	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#
se O	MIP 09 SC	7,5	95	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#
se O	MIP 09 SC	7,75	95	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#
se 0	MIP 09 SC	8,0	95	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#
se 0	MIP 09 SC	8,25	90	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#
se 0	MIP 09 SC	8,5	90	<2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#
se 0	MIP 09 SC	8.75	90	<2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#
se 0	MIP 09 SC	9.0	90	-2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#
	MIP 09 SC	9.25	91	<2	<5	<5	< 20	#	#
se ()	00 00	0,20	91	<2	<5	<5	< 20	#	#

Ejlskov A/S , 16064, Kvistgård CRT										
Soil Criteria Class	Sample ID	Prøvedvbde	Dry Matter	C6H6-C10	C10-C15	C15-C20	C20-C35	Sum (C10-C20)	Sum (C6H6-C3	
		m bgl	%	mg/kg ts.	mg/kg ts.					
							5 5 5			
<lasse 0<="" td=""><td>MIP 10 SC</td><td>2,5</td><td>89</td><td>&lt; 2</td><td>&lt; 5</td><td>&lt; 5</td><td>&lt; 20</td><td>#</td><td>#</td></lasse>	MIP 10 SC	2,5	89	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	3,5	96	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
<lasse 0<="" td=""><td>MIP 10 SC</td><td>4,5</td><td>98</td><td>&lt; 2</td><td>&lt; 5</td><td>&lt; 5</td><td>&lt; 20</td><td>#</td><td>#</td></lasse>	MIP 10 SC	4,5	98	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	5,0	96	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	5,5	96	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	6,0	98	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	6,25	98	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	6,5	98	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	6,75	98	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	7,0	93	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	7,25	93	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	7,5	93	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	7,75	93	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	8,0	96	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	8,25	90	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	8,5	90	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	8,75	90	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	9,0	90	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	9,25	90	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	9,5	90	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	9,75	90	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	10,0	91	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	10,25	91	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	10,5	90	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	10,75	90	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	MIP 10 SC	11,0	90	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	SC-01	4,0	74	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 4	SC-01	4,5	74	< 2	9,0	5,2	140	14	150	
Klasse 4	SC-01	6,0	87	29	760	880	410	1600	2100	
Klasse 2	SC-01	6,5	87	< 2	28	45	23	73	96	
Klasse 4	SC-01	7,0	94	3,6	140		82	310	400	
Klasse 4	SC-01	7,5	92	430	8200	8600	4000	17000	21000	
Klasse 4	SC-01	7,75	92	340	5200	5500	2400	11000	13000	
Klasse 4	SC-01	8,0	90	390	8500	9300	4500	18000	23000	
Klasse 4	SC-01	8,25	90	360	8700		5000	20000		
Klasse 4	SC-01	8,5	90	61	1600	2300	1000	3900	5000	
Klasse 4	SC-01	8,75	88	92	5700	7800	3600	13000		
Klasse 4	SC-01	9,0	88	< 2	8,5	14	< 20	22	22	
Klasse 3	SC-01	9,25	88	< 2	7,2	11	< 20	19	19	
Klasse 0	SC-01	9,5	91	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	SC-01	9,75	91	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	SC-02	4,0	64	< 4	< 10	< 10	49	#	49	
Klasse 4	SC-02	4,5	83	< 2	170	740	650	910	1600	
Klasse 4	SC-02	5,5	83	70	1200	750	700	2000	2700	
Klasse 4	SC-02	6,5	91	130	3200	770	660	4000	4800	
Klasse 4	SC-02	7,5	96	7.8	270	34	30	310	350	
Klasse 0	SC-02	7,75	96	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 4	SC-02	8,0	96	89	1300	110	39	1400	1500	
Klasse 4	SC-02	8,25	97	230	3900	1800	730	5700	6600	
Klasse 0	SC-02	8,5	97	< 2	36	16	< 20	52	52	
Klasse 0	SC-02	8.75	97	<2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Klasse 0	SC-02	9,0	97	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Classe 0	SC-02	9,25	97	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
Classe 0	SC-02	9,5	94	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 20	#	#	
(1 0	80.00	0.75	04	. 0			.00			



# Remedial Design Characterization

# **Question Time**











### Active Retail Station Copenhagen (Denmark)





### Conceptual Site Model (CSM) - 2011







### Conceptual Site Model (CSM) - 2012









### Remedial Design and Remediation Layout Map





# Former Industrial Facility (Sweden)







### Site Background Information

The site was a former industrial dry cleaning facility located south of Stockholm.

After demolition, the site has been redeveloped to become a residential complex.

A MIP investigation was carried out on site and soil samples collected to depths of 3 m bgl (vadose zone). A series of monitoring wells were installed.

Overburden down to 3 - 3.5 m bgl was removed as part of initial phase of remediation works







MIP Investigation in this case not sided by validation soil samples to same depths of MIP points.

Soil data available to conduct remediation from 3 m bgl to bedrock not available







#### Remediation Injection Completion Map

Remediation works were planned within 2 weeks and influenced by construction site activities schedule.

No detailed RDC was performed, hence leading to high level of contingency needed to reach clean up levels required by client.

Injection treatment performed from 3.5 m bgl to bedrock.



# **On-Going Developments**





### Ejlskov 3D Studio





Ejlskov 3D Studio is on a "continuous" development process.

The software is a support tool for project managers in assessing and visualizing the contamination dynamics on site. As Ejlskov learns more from each RDC so does the software and its way of interpolating the data.



# Remedial Design Characterization

# Conclusions





### RDC as a Remedation Tool - Why

- Added value for long term project planning and budgeting
  - High frequency sampling >> more defined and specific Conceptual Site Model
  - More specific Conceptual Site Model >> more accurate remediation budget
  - More accurate remediation budget  $\implies$  better defined overall project costs and lifetime
- High frequency sampling advantages
  - Lead to more accurate Risk Assessment  $\implies$  might rule out the case with no further action
  - Allows for accurate contaminant mass assessment (amount and distribution)
    more accurate (regardless of type of remedial technology) remediation budget and lifetime
- RDC is generally 5-10-15% of total remediation costs
  - Ejlskov experience of RDC costs are <0.5 1€/m3 of investigated soil



# Insitu Site Remediation -Trap & Treat® concept

# **Trap&Treat® and Injection Method**





# BOS100<sup>®</sup> - Degradation of Chlorinated Solvents

Catalyst that traps and treats through reductive dechlorination.

Specially manufactured activated carbon impregnated with elemental iron.

Substantial surface area offered by impregnated iron, BOS100<sup>®</sup>-• 200 to 250 m2/gram compared to ZVI nano-iron powder – 20 to 40 m2/gram

Concentration of sorbed chloroethanes and substantial surface area results in rapid rates of dechlorination.

Completely non-toxic, contributing only low levels of chloride and iron to the groundwater.

Effectively controls generation of toxic daughter products, protecting groundwater throughout the treatment.







# CAT100<sup>™</sup> - Biodegradation of Chlorinated Solvents

CAT100<sup>™</sup> is a fusion of BOS100<sup>®</sup> and enhanced reductive dechlorination (ERD) to produce a technology capable of achieving results beyond the capabilities of either one alone.

Electron transfer, the heart of all contaminant degradation processes, is promoted as the contaminant binds to the metal creating an electrical connection extending throughout the carbon.

An electron pump created by slow degradation of complex carbohydrates and peptides feeds electrons to the conductor which shuttles them to the site of depletion.

In this process the metallic iron facilitates catalytic degradation of the contaminant without significant depletion of the iron.

HIGHLY EFFICIENT ON DENSE NON AQUEOUS PHASE LIQUID (DNAPL)





### BOS 200® Trap & Treat® - Biodegradation of Hydrocarbons

A Carbon/Biological Based Product consisting of;

Activated Carbon Powder mixed with Calcium Sulfate Nitrate Phosphate Ammonia

Two primary treatment mechanisms take place with BOS 200®:

- First mechanism is the "**Trap**": BOS 200<sup>®</sup> uses activated carbon to adsorb petroleum hydrocarbons.
- Biodegradation, the "**Treatment**", is the second mechanism of BOS 200® remediation







### BOS200<sup>®</sup> Key Features

- Trap&Treat<sup>®</sup> function achieved with one product
- Blend of specific bugs meant to destroy/degrade hydrocarbons present within "reactive carbon bed" into the subsurface
- Mixing of activated carbon with fresh water and oxygen rich atmosphere guarantees the carriage into the subsurface of high quantities of dissolved oxygen
- More flexibility in targeting low or high contaminated layers and possibility to work with slurry concentrations accordingly
- Highly effective in treating LNAPL





Injection of suspended material

- Through existing wells (never recommended)
  - Very limited distribution in the contaminated zone
  - Will not address the low permeable soils/residual contaminations
  - Leading to failure
- Through injection probes top down through hydraulic hoses
  - No loss of product through joints in rods
  - Always delivered to the injection tips







### Installation / Injection Key Features

- Pressure, Flow, Exit Velocity
- Injection Volumes
- Distribution
- •Sand vs. Clay Formations





# Injection into Clays/Silts and fine sands







#### Low Flow, Low Pressure Injection Why its not a good idea to do







### Grid Based Design

- Use triangular grid pattern
- Spacing is a function of the depth and lithology
- Spacing is tight (relative to other technologies e.g. ISCO) and ranges from 1.5 to 2.3m for most sites





### Injection concept 2D



Mixing of BOS products slurry on site

Top down injections - one for every 0,5 meter

Injections (system) pressure up to 90 bar (1200 psi)

Pressure leads to soil separation - hydraulic fracking

Injection Hoses – no loss of product in rod joints



# Remedial Design Characterization

# **Question Time**



